

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/663,175	(	09/16/2003	Jose Luis Garcia JR.	3745P2324C	2829	
23504	7590	90 08/09/2004		EXAMINER		
WEISS & N			EL ARINI, ZEINAB			
4204 NORTH BROWN AVENUE SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1746		

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					K				
		Appli	ication No.	Applicant(s)					
			63,175	GARCIA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Exam	niner	Art Unit					
			ab E. EL-Arini	1746					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears o	n the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ac	Idress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com e period for reply specified above is less than thirty ( period for reply is specified above, the maximum s ure to reply within the set or extended period for repl reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within th atutory period will apply a y will. by statute, cause th	no event, however, may a re se statutory minimum of thirt and will expire SIX (6) MON' se application to become AB	pply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timel  THS from the mailing date of this c  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on							
2a)□	•	2b) This action	is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	ion Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)[	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have documents have of the priority document do	been received. been received in April cuments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National	Stage				
•	· ·								
Attachmen  1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I		Paper No(s	)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5)  Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTC 	D-152)				

Art Unit: 1746

# **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: "A method of washing produce".

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is directed to a produce washing apparatus and not to a method of washing produce as claimed herein. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the preliminary amendment, on page 1, in the related application, after "2000,", ---applicant need to insert "which is now U.S. Patent No. 6,626,192,"----.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

**Art Unit: 1746** 

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, 5. because the specification, while being enabling for washing produce by exposing a top portion and a bottom portion to spray emanating from each of spray nozzles located above washing section and spray nozzles located below washing section, does not reasonably provide enablement for washing a top portion of the produce only. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specification, as originally filed, contains subject matter which enable one skilled in the art for washing produce by exposing a top portion and a bottom portion to spray emanating from each of spray nozzles located above washing section and spray nozzles located below washing section, and does not contain subject matter for washing a top portion of the produce only as is now claimed.

## **Double Patenting**

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir.

**Art Unit: 1746** 

1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,626,192. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because washing the top portion of the produce as claimed is included in the method claimed in Patent No. 6,626,192.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brown (US 2003/0126850) discloses systems and methods for harvesting fresh produce. Brown et al. (6,298,865) disclose apparatus and methods for washing the cored areas of lettuce heads during harvest. Martin (5,820,694) discloses produce washer and washing method. Kirk et al. (5,451,266) disclose method for

Art Unit: 1746

spray washing fruit in a brush bed. Dietrich (4,502,893) discloses method for cleaning root crops in the field. Niederer et al. (3,155,102) disclose egg cleaning equipment. Brown et al. (6,196,237) disclose methods for washing cores of cored lettuce heads.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zeinab E. EL-Arini whose telephone number is (571) 272-1301. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

zemab Elarini Zeinab E. EL-Arini Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1746

ZEE 08/05/04